Stream: Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

RFC: 9895

Category: Standards Track
Published: November 2025
ISSN: 2070-1721

Authors: D. Wiggins L. Berger D. Eastlake 3rd, Ed.

LabN Consulting, L.L.C. Independent

RFC 9895

Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Extension

Abstract

This document defines an extension to the Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) that enables an Ethernet IEEE 802.1Q aware credit-window scheme for destination-specific and shared flow control.

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9895.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
	1.1. Key Words	3
2.	Extension Usage and Identification	3
3.	Management Considerations	3
4.	Security Considerations	4
5.	IANA Considerations	4
6.	References	5
	6.1. Normative References	5
	6.2. Informative References	5
A	Acknowledgments	
Αı	Authors' Addresses	

1. Introduction

The Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) is defined in [RFC8175]. The protocol provides the exchange of link-related control information between DLEP peers. DLEP peers consist of a modem and a router. DLEP defines a base set of mechanisms as well as support for possible extensions. This document defines one such extension.

The DLEP specification does not define any flow control mechanisms. While in theory various flow control techniques could be implemented with DLEP, this document specifies a DLEP extension that introduces an Ethernet-based flow control mechanism for traffic transmitted from a router to a modem. This mechanism utilizes one or more logical "Credit Windows", each of which is typically associated with a virtual or physical queue. The router leverages traffic flow classification information provided by the modem to determine the appropriate credit window for a given traffic flow. Credit windows may be allocated on either a shared or a per-flow basis. For a Diffserv-based approach to credit window flow control, refer to [RFC9894]. As specified in Section 2.3.1 of [RFC9892], when both Diffserv and Ethernet traffic classification are applied to a flow, Ethernet-based classification takes precedence.

This document leverages the traffic classification and credit window control mechanisms defined in [RFC9892] and [RFC9893] to enable credit-window-based flow control based on DLEP destinations, Ethernet Virtual Local Area Networks (VLANs), and Priority Code Points (PCPs). Ethernet PCP support is specified as part of the IEEE 802.1Q tag format [IEEE8021Q], which includes a 3-bit "PCP" field. The tag format also incorporates a 12-bit "VLAN Identifier (VID)" field.

The defined mechanism allows credit windows to be shared across traffic destined for multiple DLEP destinations, VLANs, and PCPs, or to be dedicated exclusively to traffic associated with a specific destination, VLAN, and/or PCP. Additionally, this extension supports "wildcard" matching for any PCP or VID.

The extension defined in this document is referred to as the "IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window" or, more simply, the "Ethernet Credit" extension. The reader should be familiar with both the traffic classification and credit window control mechanisms defined in [RFC9892] and [RFC9893].

This document defines a new DLEP Extension Type Value that is used to indicate support for the extension. See Section 2.

1.1. Key Words

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. Extension Usage and Identification

The extension defined in this document is composed of the mechanisms and processing defined in [RFC9892] and [RFC9893]. To indicate that the IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Extension is to be used, an implementation MUST include the IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Type Value in the Extensions Supported Data Item (see Section 13.6 of [RFC8175]). The Extensions Supported Data Item is sent and processed according to [RFC8175]. Any implementation that indicates the use of the IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Extension MUST support all message types, Data Items, the Ethernet Traffic Classification Sub-Data Item, and all related processing defined in [RFC9892] and [RFC9893].

The IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Extension Type Value is 5. See Section 5.

3. Management Considerations

This section provides several network management guidelines for implementations supporting the IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Extension.

If this extension is supported, that support MUST be declared using the Extensions Supported Data Item (see Section 13.6 of [RFC8175]), which is configurable on both modems and routers. Diffserv Aware Credit Window Extension Data Items MUST NOT be emitted by a DLEP participant unless such support was specified in the initialization message received from its peer. The use of the extension defined in this document SHOULD be configurable on both modems and routers.

Modems **SHOULD** support the configuration of mapping a PCP to a credit window (queue).

Modems MAY support the configuration of mapping a PCP to a credit window (queue) on a per-VLAN basis. VID value zero (0) is used by [RFC9892] to indicate that the VID is ignored and any VID value is used in traffic classification.

When VLANs are supported by a modem without support from PCPs, the modem **SHOULD** support the configuration of mapping a VLAN to a credit window (queue).

Modems MAY support the configuration of the number of credit windows (queues) that they advertise to a router.

Routers may impose limitations on the number of queues they can support and on the allowable credit window configurations. In some cases, per-destination queues may not be supported. If the credit window information provided by the modem exceeds the router's capabilities, the router **SHOULD** utilize a subset of the advertised credit windows. Alternatively, the router **MAY** reset the session and indicate that the extension is not supported. In either case, any mismatch in capabilities **SHOULD** be reported to the user through standard network management mechanisms, such as user interface notifications or error logging.

Regardless of implementation, if credit windows are in use, the router **MUST NOT** send traffic to the modem unless sufficient credits are available.

4. Security Considerations

This document defines a DLEP extension that uses DLEP mechanisms and the credit window control and flow mechanisms defined in [RFC9892] and [RFC9893]. See also the Security Considerations sections of those documents.

The defined extension is exposed to vulnerabilities similar to existing DLEP messages and discussed in the Security Considerations section of [RFC8175], such as an injected message resizing a credit window to a value that results in a denial of service. The security mechanisms documented in [RFC8175] can be applied equally to the mechanism defined in this document.

Wildcards for matching PCP and VID fields are provided. Note that wildcards may be convenient for matching a number of packet flows but could inadvertently match unexpected flows or new flows that appear after the wildcard matching has been set up. It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that wildcards not be used unless clearly needed.

5. IANA Considerations

IANA has assigned the following code point in the "Extension Type Values" registry in the "Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) Parameters" registry group:

Code	Description
5	IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window

Table 1: Extension Type Value

6. References

6.1. Normative References

- [IEEE8021Q] IEEE, "IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks--Bridges and Bridged Networks", DOI 10.1109/IEEESTD.2022.10004498, IEEE Std 802.1Q-2022, December 2022, https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10004498>.
 - [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.
 - [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174.
 - [RFC8175] Ratliff, S., Jury, S., Satterwhite, D., Taylor, R., and B. Berry, "Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP)", RFC 8175, DOI 10.17487/RFC8175, June 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8175.
 - [RFC9892] Cheng, B., Wiggins, D., Berger, L., and D. Fedyk, Ed., "Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) Traffic Classification Data Item", RFC 9892, DOI 10.17487/ RFC9892, November 2025, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9892.
 - [RFC9893] Cheng, B., Wiggins, D., Ratliff, S., Berger, L., and E. Kinzie, Ed., "Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) Credit-Based Flow Control Messages and Data Items", RFC 9893, DOI 10.17487/RFC9893, November 2025, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9893.

6.2. Informative References

[RFC9894] Cheng, B., Wiggins, D., Berger, L., and D. Eastlake 3rd, Ed., "Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) Diffserv Aware Credit Window Extension", RFC 9894, DOI 10.17487/RFC9894, November 2025, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9894.

Acknowledgments

This document was motivated by discussions in the MANET Working Group. Many useful comments were received from contributors to the MANET Working Group, notably Ronald in 't Velt.

We had the honor of working too briefly with David Wiggins on this and related DLEP work. His contribution to the IETF and publication of the first and definitive open-source DLEP implementation have been critical to the acceptance of DLEP. We mourn his passing on November 26, 2023. We wish to recognize his guidance, leadership, and professional excellence. We were fortunate to benefit from his leadership and friendship. He shall be missed.

Authors' Addresses

David Wiggins

Lou Berger

LabN Consulting, L.L.C. Email: lberger@labn.net

Donald E. Eastlake 3rd (EDITOR)

Independent 2386 Panoramic Circle Apopka, FL 32703 United States of America

Phone: +1-508-333-2270 Email: d3e3e3@gmail.com